

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Reggie Wade Cartee,)	Case No. 8:22-cv-00444-DCC
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
Chad McBride; Anderson County)	
Sheriff's Office; Donna Marie Burns;)	
James Robert Burns, Jr.; John Doe,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Complaint and attachments alleging violations of his civil rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. ECF Nos. 1–1-3. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Jacquelyn D. Austin for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On March 10, 2022, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that this action be dismissed without issuance of service of process. ECF No. 12. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the Report and the time to do so has lapsed.¹

¹ The Report was initially returned as undeliverable. ECF No. 15. On April 6, 2022, Plaintiff submitted a notice of change of address, and the next day the Report was remailed to the new address. ECF Nos. 16, 17. The Report has not been returned for a second time.

APPLICABLE LAW AND ANALYSIS

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. See *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The Court will review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that “in the absence of timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” (citation omitted)).

After considering the record in this case, the applicable law, and the Report of the Magistrate Judge, the Court finds no clear error and adopts the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED** without issuance of service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Donald C. Coggins, Jr.
United States District Judge

May 24, 2022
Spartanburg, South Carolina